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Description of a Gold Cichlid (Teleostei: Cichlidae) from Lake Malawi, Africa

KENNETH R. MCKAYE and JAY R. STAUFFER, JR.

A Lake Malawi cichlid of the *Pseudotropheus zebra* group is described. The males of the species are gold (sensu Barlow 1976). The behavior and ecology of this species which is endemic to the Maleri Islands is discussed in light of previous hypotheses concerning the evolution of cichlid gold coloration.

HAPLOCHROMINE cichlids are particularly well known for their explosive speciation in the rift valley lakes of Africa and their incredible variety of color patterns within these lakes (Greenwood, 1981; Fryer and Iles, 1972; Ribbink et al., 1983). The existence of unique color patterns, among cichlids especially the male's, generally is recognized to be sufficient to delimit valid species (Barel et al., 1977; Barlow, 1974; Greenwood, 1981; Hoogerhoud and Witte, 1981; McKay et al., 1982, 1984). However, the adaptive significance of these color patterns has been examined seriously only by Barlow's (1983) study of the midas cichlid, *Cichlasoma citrinellum*.

The purpose of this paper is to describe a species which has been previously mentioned in the literature (Ribbink et al., 1983) and hopefully stimulate future studies to test the generality of Barlow's earlier hypotheses. Ribbink et al. (1983) published a photograph and a brief synopsis of a species repeatedly called *Pseudotropheus zebra* "fusco." The males of this species are gold or yellow (henceforth referred to as gold sensu Barlow, 1976). A brief account of the color of this species was given, but no formal description has appeared in the literature. A number of specimens have now been obtained and the ecology and behavior of this species is now under investigation (McKay and Stauffer, 1986).
in prep.). We are, thus, taking the opportunity to describe this species. The counts and measurements employed follow Barel et al. (1977).

_Pseudotropheus barlowi_, n. sp.

*Holotype.*—National Museum of Natural History (USNM) 274782, adult male, 76.9 mm standard length (SL) from Nakantenga Island, Lake Malawi, Malawi (34°39' E, 13°55' S), collected by K. R. McKay and J. R. Stauffer, Jr., at 12–15 m, Field No. JRS 84-33, 19 April 1984.

*Paratypes.*—USNM 274783 (4 specimens, 72.6 mm, 81.1 mm, 70.3 mm, 71.4 mm SL) Nakantenga Island, 19 April 1984; 274784 (1 specimen, 77.0 mm SL) Nakantenga Island, 16 April 1984, 10–12 m. British Museum (Natural History) (BMNH) 1985.10.23:1–4 (4 specimens 73.5 mm, 77.8 mm, 64.1 mm, 73.9 mm SL), Nakantenga Island, 19 April 1984 (10–12 m).

*Diagnosis.*— *P. barlowi* is a member of the *P. zebra* group (Ribbink et al., 1983). D. S. C. Lewis (in prep.), who is currently revising the “mbuna” of Lake Malawi, distinguishes the *P. zebra* group from other “mbuna” by the “straight to concave snout, wide terminal mouth and lower jaw of equal to or longer than upper jaw.” Other described members of the *P. zebra* group include *P. livingstoni, P. elegans, P. aurora, P. lombardoi* and *P. greshakei* Meyer and Foerster 1984.

*P. barlowi* is distinguished from other sympatric members of this group by the gold coloration of the male and the brown coloration of the female. The male’s distinctive anal fin has blue membranes with a black ventral edge and gold ocelli with a red/orange border. Neither females nor males have any stripes or bars.

*Description.*—Based on the holotype (Fig. 1) and nine paratypes, six males 64.1–81.1 mm SL and three females 71.4–77.0 mm SL. Principal morphometric ratios given in Table 1.


Dorsal-fin base 590–643 thousandths of SL. Caudal peduncle 90–120 thousandths of SL. Caudal peduncle 1.11–1.48 deeper than long. Dorsal fin with 25 (1), 26 (4), 27 (3), 28 (1) and 29 (1) serial elements comprised of 17 (2), 18 (6), 19 (1) and 20 (1) spines and 8 (5), 9 (4), 10 (1) segmented rays. Anal fin with 11 (10) elements, comprised of 3 spines and 8 segmented rays. Pectoral fins 267–318 thousandths of SL, comprised of 13 (8), 14 (2) segmented rays. Pelvic fins 280–416 thousandths of SL. Caudal fin emarginate.

Scales ctenoid. Lateral line with 29–31 pored lateral line scales (mode 31). It should be noted

---

*Fig. 1. Holotype of Pseudotropheus barlowi.*
that the lateral line is in two parts which overlap. The scales in the overlap are counted in one part only. Scales which are pored and are located posteriorly to the hypural plate are not counted. Cheek with 4–5 scale rows (mode 4). Minute scales extend onto caudal fin.

Gill rakers on epibranchial 2–4 (mode 3) + 1 (in angle) + 10 (5), 11 (5) on ceratobranchial. Gill rakers with single filaments, not trifid or bifid.

Teeth bicuspid, 9–13 on left side of lower jaw in outer row. Upper jaw teeth in 4 rows. Teeth in the posterior upper rows are sparse. Teeth on lower jaw in 5 rows. Posterior lower rows incomplete.

Lower pharyngeal bone (N = 4) is triangular in outline and its width is 1.0–1.1 times its length (Fig. 2). Its length is 269–290 thousandths of HL. Suture between two halves almost indistinct. Dentigerous area 1.0–1.2 times broader than long. Teeth in a posterior row weakly bicuspid (Barel et al., 1977, Fig. 53). Teeth in lateral rows unicuspid with flattened and obliquely truncated crowns (Barel et al., 1977, Fig. 54).

Radiographs were taken of the holotype and eight paratypes. Five specimens (including the holotype) have 14 abdominal vertebrae and four had 15. Seven specimens (including the holotype) had 16 caudal vertebrae and two had 15.

**Live coloration.**—Male. Head gold with green

---

### Table 1. Meristic and Morphometric Character Values for *Pseudotropheus barlowi* (N = 10 and includes holotype.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character</th>
<th>Holotype</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Standard length mm</td>
<td>76.9</td>
<td>73.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>64.1–81.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head length mm</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>22.2–25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousandths of SL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head length</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>326</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>314–346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Head depth</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>319–352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal fin base</td>
<td>602</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>590–643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal peduncle length</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>90–120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudal peduncle depth</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>131–144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snout to dorsal fin origin</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>331–374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snout to pelvic fin origin</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>424</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>417–446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectoral fin length</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>267–318</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic fin length of males (N = 7)</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>377</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>339–417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic fin length of females (N = 3)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>280–311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thousandths of HL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interorbital width</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>267–293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snout length</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>324–372</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal eye diameter</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>329</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>324–349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertical eye diameter</td>
<td>309</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>298–345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preorbital depth</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>189–204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheek depth</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>202–225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postorbital head length</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>361–392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Premaxillary pedicels</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>254–297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower jaw length</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>343</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>318–365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meristic characters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral line scales</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>29–31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pored scales posterior to (hypural plate)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal spines</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>17–20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal rays</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>8–10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pectoral rays</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>13–14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale rows on cheek</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill rakers on ceratobranchial</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>10–11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill rakers on epibranchial</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2–4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
opercular spot; anterior with faint blue flecks on gold ground coloration. Lateral side and pectoral fins are gold. Pelvic fin gold with black anterior margin. Anal fin membranes blue with black ventral edge; bright gold ocelli with red/orange border. Caudal fin gold with middle membranes blue. Dorsal-fin spines and rays gold; membranes pale blue with gold lappets.

Female.—Head brown, lateral surface with blue and gold flecks on brown ground coloration. Pectoral fin clear. Pelvic fin clear with brown leading edge. Anal fin gold distally, brown in proximal portion of membranes; distal membranes clear, spines with black micromelanophores.

Larvae.—Two females were captured with young in their mouths. The larvae were all bright gold with orange yolk sacs.

*Preserved coloration.*—Males. Head and lateral side pale yellow. Dark dorsally, fading to almost white ventrally. Paired fins clear. Anal fin clear with pale yellow ocelli. Dark brown opercular spot.

Female.—Head and lateral sides brown. Fins clear.

*Distribution.*—This species is common to the three Maleri Islands. Ribbink et al. (1983) report similar looking individuals at Nkudzi, Mbenji Island, Thumbi Island West and Mazinzi Reef. The allopatric males have slightly different coloration, whereas the females appear identical to those at the Maleri Islands. Further research is required to determine whether or not those allopatric entities are the same species as described here.

*Relationships.*—This species belongs to a group of species closely related to *P. zebra* (Fryer, 1959; Ribbink et al., 1983). Most of the species in this group are presently undescribed and at this time it would be premature to speculate on the phylogenetic relationship of *P. barlowi* to any of these species. Revisions of the genus *Pseudotropheus* are presently in preparation (Lewis, in prep.; Stauffer, in prep.).

*Etymology.*—Named after George W. Barlow in recognition of his behavioral studies on the benefits of being a gold cichlid.

Fig. 2. Lower pharyngeal bone of *Pseudotropheus barlowi.*

*Ecology.*—*P. barlowi* is a rock dwelling cichlid which feeds primarily upon zooplankton, insect larvae, phytoplankton and algae. This generalized feeding behavior is typical of most members of the *P. zebra* group (Fryer, 1959; McKay and Marsh, 1983; Ribbink et al., 1983). The males are territorial and remain close to the rocks, whereas the females tend to occur higher in the water column feeding upon zooplankton. They occur primarily at depths between 10 and 20 m.

**Discussion**

Convergent evolution results when similar environmental conditions evoke similar phenotypic responses among unrelated organisms (Mayr, 1963). Although hypotheses of convergent vertebrate evolution are difficult to test experimentally, comparisons of different species under similar environmental conditions can give important insight into the processes of natural selection (Endler, 1982). Cichlids (Teleostei) are one of the best vertebrate groups on which to examine hypotheses of convergent evolution and the corresponding role of natural selection (McKay, 1984).

While invoking natural selection to explain the patterns observed, one must be careful of simply making post hoc explanations of the system under consideration. Ideally, hypotheses
should be drawn from earlier studies and then applied to the system under consideration. We are fortunate that a series of a priori hypotheses concerning the benefits and disadvantages of being gold are available to test with African cichlids (Barlow, 1976, 1983; Barlow and Rogers, 1978).

Barlow (1983) summarizes his work on the midas cichlid *C. citrinellum* and we will refer the reader to this paper for a detailed justification of the hypotheses presented here. The following hypotheses have been proposed based on studies of the midas cichlid: 1) the gold-yellow coloration conveys an advantage in aggressive encounters; 2) this effect of gold is facilitated if gold fish are rare (“in nature slightly less than one in ten.” Barlow, 1983); 3) gold color forms occur deeper in clear water than other forms perhaps to avoid predation by birds (McKaye, 1980), caimens and otters (Barlow, 1983); and 4) color forms mate assortively which can theoretically lead to rapid cichlid speciation (Lande, 1981; McKay, 1980).

Although the similarities between the African and Central American species are striking, the differences between any given species should be noted. For example, only the *P. barlowi* males are gold and territorial. However, both males and females of *C. citrinellum* are gold and territorial.

Nevertheless, several undescribed cichlid species in Lake Malawi may conform to patterns observed in Central America and are worthy of further investigation to test Barlow’s theories. For example, the gold males of *P. barlowi* are “aggressively territorial” as are most mbuna males (Ribbink et al., 1983), but they appear to be attacked less often by the cichlids than are “non-gold” sympatric *Pseudotropheus* spp. (McKaye and Stauffer, in prep.). This situation is analogous to the field observations of *C. citrinellum* by McKay and Barlow (1976) in which individuals of the gold morph were attacked significantly less than the gray morph. Also both species occur primarily at depths below 10 m (Ribbink et al., 1983, pers. obs.). Furthermore, the occurrence of this deep dwelling species in Lake Malawi is consistent with the suggestion of McKay (1980) that distinct non-interbreeding species, occupying deep- and shallow-water habitats, respectively, could arise from ancestral color morphs of one species.

Data on the relative aggression directed against gold and non-gold *Pseudotropheus* spp. are still required before we can determine the generality of Barlow’s hypotheses concerning the advantage of being gold. The cichlid species flocks of Lake Tanganyika and Lake Malawi provide the ideal conditions to test the generality of these hypotheses. Clearly, further comparative field observations and experimental manipulations are required.
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